



Signed and Filed: July 2, 2020

Dennis Montali

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:) Bankruptcy Case
PG&E CORPORATION,) No. 19-30088-DM
) Chapter 11
- and -) Jointly Administered
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)
Debtors.)
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)
Electric Company)
☒ Affects both Debtors)
* All papers shall be filed in)
the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)

ORDER REQUIRING CORRECTED NOTICE OF HEARING ON FEE APPLICATIONS

On January 30, 2020, this court entered the Second Amended Order Granting Fee Examiner's Motion to Approve Fee Procedures (the "Second Amended Order") (dkt. 5572). Footnote one on the front page describes the modifications: "This order revises paragraph 2(b) of the Amended Order Granting Fee Examiner's Motion to Approve Fee Procedures [dkt. 5168] entered on December 18, 2019. The revisions are noted in bold." Paragraph 2(b) of

1 the Second Amended Order identifies the requirements for notices
2 of hearings on fee applications:

3 Additionally, the notice should set forth the
4 deadline for objections and identify **(1) each**
5 **applicant and the party represented by that**
6 **applicant, (2) the date range for the services for**
7 **which the compensation is being sought; and (3) the**
8 **amount of fees applied for, the amount of expenses**
9 **requested,** the amount of any compromise with the Fee
10 Examiner, and the net amount claimed. The notice
11 should also state that all professionals may appear
12 via CourtCall.

13 See Second Amended Order at dkt. 5572 at pg. 4 (bolding in
14 original).

15 On June 30, 2020, counsel to the Fee Examiner filed a
16 Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications Allowing and
17 Authorizing Payment of Fees and Expenses of Multiple Fee
18 Applicants Based Upon Compromises with the Fee Examiner (the
19 "Notice"). See Dkt. 8213. The second and third paragraphs on
20 page two of the Notice refer to the initial and the first
21 amended fee procedures orders, but the Notice does not mention
22 the Second Amended Order.

23 More significantly, the Notice does not comply with the
24 Second Amended Order. In particular, the Notice does not
25 identify (1) the party represented by each applicant, (2) the
26 date range for the services for which the compensation is being
27 sought; and (3) the amount of the fees applied for and the
28 amount of expenses requested.

//

//

//

1 In light of the foregoing, it is hereby
2 ORDERED no later than July 6, 2020, Counsel for the Fee
3 Examiner must file an amended notice that fully complies with
4 the Second Amended Order. It is further

5 ORDERED that Counsel for the Fee Examiner shall not request
6 compensation for preparing, filing and serving the amended
7 notice.

8 *****END OF ORDER*****
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28